Flux Rope Formation from Magnetic and Velocity Shear

William Daughton

Collaborators: Homa Karimabadi, Takuma Nakamura, Yi-Hsin Liu, Burlen Loring, Vadim Roytershteyn

Funding: NASA - Heliophysics Theory Program

Computing: DOE (Jaguar & Hopper), NSF (Kraken)

Mini-conference on Flux Ropes and 3D Dynamics 54th APS-DPP Meeting Providence, RI October 31, 2012



LA-UR-12-25847

Two Key Formation Mechanisms

Magnetic Shear

Tearing



 \mathcal{Z}



 $k\lambda_m \sim 0.5$

- Linear mechanism
- Ion or electron scale layers
- Threshold B_z
- Growth rate:

$$\frac{\gamma}{k v_{th_e}} \sim \frac{d_e^2 \Delta'}{2 \sqrt{\pi} l_s}$$

Velocity Shear Kelvin-Helmholtz



 $k\lambda_v \sim 0.5 \to 1$

- Inherently non-linear
- KH vortex + reconnection
- Ion or electron layers
- Threshold shear $V_o > V_{Ax}$
- Growth rate

 $\gamma \sim \frac{1}{10} \frac{V_o}{\lambda_w}$

Highlight new papers that illustrate both mechanisms & their coupling

I. Pure velocity shear

2. Velocity & magnetic shear

3. Force-free current sheets

Pure Velocity Shear

Karimabadi, Roytershteyn, Wan et al, PoP, 2012

Wan, Mattheaus, Karimbadiet al, PRL, 2012



Fully kinetic 2D simulation of Kelvin-Helmoltz





cells

8192

- Vortex scale $\sim 50 d_i$
- Kinetic scale layers
- Tearing + reconnection
- Power law spectra $E_B \propto k_{\perp}^{-8/3}$
- Electron heating dominant
- In-plane B is crucial



Tearing instability & reconnection is triggered in current sheets with in-plane B reversal



 x/d_e



Electrons get majority of energy!



Weak in-plane field plays essential role!

Magnetic & Velocity Shear

Nakamura, Daughton, Karimabadi, JGR, 2012



Two-Dimensional Evolution



Three-Dimensional Evolution









Poincaré Recurrence Map



Chaotic field lines



Good flux surfaces

Finite Time Lyapunov Exponent = FTLE



Mixing rate is enhanced due to 3D magnetic field structure



0.8

Mixing rate enhanced > 3x in 3D case Relevant to the lower latitude boundary layer in Earth's magnetopause

 \boldsymbol{Z}

 \mathcal{X}

Pure Magnetic Shear: Force-free Current Sheet

Yi-Hsin Liu, Daughton, Karimabadi, 2012



 $b_g = 0 \rightarrow 4$

Oblique Tearing Growth Rates



• Oblique tearing modes are unstable over a wide range of angles • The most unstable tearing mode becomes oblique when $b_a \gtrsim 1$

Oblique tearing is the dominant instability

provided that we avoid Buneman instability $U_e < 1.5 V_{the}$





Oblique Flux Ropes Dominate $L_x = 40d_i$ 0.23Α J $= 40d_{i}$ Multiple electron diffusion regions embedded within a single ion diffusion region! Inherently 3D effect which is a consequence of oblique tearing modes $m_i / m_e = 100$

Generalized Ohms Law $b_g = 4$

 $n_{e}(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}/c)$ $= -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{e}$ $-m_{e}\nabla \cdot (n_{e}\mathbf{u}_{e}\mathbf{u}_{e})$ $-m_{e}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_{e}\mathbf{u}_{e})$

 $abla \cdot \mathbf{P}_e$ is dominant non-ideal term



Summary

Ion-scale boundary layers often include some combination of magnetic and velocity shear

Large-scale magnetic shear will naturally drive reconnection and these flows may in turn drive Kelvin-Helmoltz

Alfvenic velocity shear leads to KH vortices which generates current sheets & drives reconnection

In real 3D applications, both of these mechanisms leads to flux ropes, turbulence and heating within these structures

Spectra in all simulations feature power law in fluctuations with break at kinetic scales



LA-UR-12-25847